

Timeline/Worklog				Instructor Score			
Did the author(s) provide a workable timeline to complete the project in the amount of time allotted.				0	2		
Day 1: What did you attend to accomplish, what was accomplished, what needs to be accomplished				0	1 2		
Day 2: What did you attend to accomplish, what was accomplished, what needs to be accomplished				0	1 2		
Day 3: What did you attend to accomplish, what was accomplished, what needs to be accomplished				0	1 2		
Day 4: What did you attend to accomplish, what was accomplished, what needs to be accomplished				0	1 2		
Day 5: What did you attend to accomplish, what was accomplished, what needs to be accomplished				0	1 2		
Day 6: What did you attend to accomplish, what was accomplished, what needs to be accomplished				0	1 2		
Guiding/Central Question				Peer Rating		Instructor Score	
Did the author(s) provide enough <b>background information</b> about the problem (the context).	No	Partially	Yes	0	1	2	
Did the author(s) <b>clearly and explicitly articulate a question</b> that will be answered in relation and scope to the central problem?	No	Partially	Yes	0	1	2	
<b>What (ideally) is your intended outcome?</b> How does this relate to your problem and guiding question? How does this outcome potentially solve your wicked problem?	No	Partially	Yes	0	1	2	
<b>Reviewers:</b> If your group made any "No" or "Partially" marks in this section, please explain how the author(s) could improve this part of the report.	<b>Author(s):</b> What revisions did you make in the quiding/central question? Is there anything you decided to keep the same even though he reviewers suggested otherwise? Be sure to explain why.						

Research		Peer Rating	Instructor Score	
Primary Sources: Did the author(s) use at minimum 1 source.	No		Yes	0 2
Secondary Sources: The author(s) have included background/historical information, expansion of topic/ideas, avenues for further exploration, analysis/critique of primary sources, or alternte points of view.	No		Yes	0 2
Academic Sources: Did the author(s) use at minimum 1 source from an academic expert that has been vetted.	No		Yes	0 2
Are at least 10 resources used?	No		Yes	0 2
<b>Reviewers:</b> If your group made any "No" or "Partially" marks in this section, please explain how the author(s) could improve this part of the report.	<b>Author(s):</b> What revisions did you make in your sources? Is there anything you decided to keep the same even though the reviewers suggested otherwise? Be sure to explain why.			

Method/Procedure	Peer Rating			Instructor Score
Did the author(s) describe the procedure/plan needed to adequately understand the topic and guiding/central question.	No	Partially	Yes	0 1 2
Did the author(s) write the plan/procedure in such a way that it could be replicated by a third party.	No	Partially	Yes	0 1 2
Did the author(s) find adequate answers/information to their question/problem from their research?	No	Partially	Yes	0 1 2
Did the author(s) make connections between their sources to facilitate a larger/original answer/solution to their problem?	No	Partially	Yes	0 1 2
Did the author(s) include a budget in the procedure? Were financial/equipment limitations addressed.	No	Partially	Yes	0 1 2
<p><b>Reviewers:</b> If your group made any "No" or "Partially" marks in this section, please explain how the author(s) could improve this part of the report.</p>	<p><b>Author(s):</b> What revisions did you make in the method/procedure? Is there anything you decided to keep the same even though the reviewers suggested otherwise? Be sure to explain why.</p>			

Proposal		Peer Rating		Instructor Score	
Did the author(s) clearly articulate their wicked problem, central question, and potential solution in a formal written document?	No		Yes	0	2
Did the author(s) submit a formal proposal and budget in a timely manner and request specific resources in an organized, concise, and written document?	No		Yes	0	2
Was the proposal well written, coherent, with no grammatical, or spelling mistakes.	No	Partially	Yes	0	1 2
Did the author(s) include a typed and formal work cited page in both APA & MLA format?	No		Yes	0	2
<b>Reviewers:</b> If your group made any "No" or "Partially" marks in this section, please explain how the author(s) could improve this part of the report.	<b>Author(s):</b> What revisions did you make in the method/procedure? Is there anything you decided to keep the same even though the reviewers suggested otherwise? Be sure to explain why.				
Presentation		Peer Rating		Instructor Score	
Did the speaker(s) clearly articulate their wicked problem, central question, and potential solution?	No	Partially	Yes	0	1 2
Did the speaker(s) back up their solution using their research and visual component?	No	Partially	Yes	0	1 2
Was the speaker(s) able to answer the questions posed in an articulate manner, backed up by research.	No	Partially	Yes	0	1 2
Did the speaker(s) keep to 5-7 minutes (plus a couple minutes for questions)?	No	Partially	Yes	0	1 2